## A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (secretariat@inahta.org).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

An introduction, background, objective, context of material in the HTA checklist, etc is available at <u>www.inahta.org/HTA/Checklist</u>.

Reference of report	<b>INSULIN PUMP THERAPY FOR TYPE 1 AND TYPE 2 DIABETES</b>
Organization (acronym)	MaHTAS
Name of person completing the checklist	SYFUL AZLIE MD FUZI
Email	syful.azlie@moh.gov.my

Item							Yes	Р	artly	No	
Preliminary											
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?							$\checkmark$				
2. Authors identified?							$\checkmark$				
3. Statement regarding conflict of interest?							$\checkmark$				
4. Statement on whether report externally reviewed?							$\checkmark$				
5. Short summary in non-technical language?										$\checkmark$	
Why?											
6. Reference to the policy question that is addressed?											
7. Reference to the research question that is addressed?							$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			
8. Scope of the assessment specified?							$\checkmark$				
9. Description of the assessed health technology?							$\checkmark$				
How?											
	ources of	informati	on and literature	search	strategies p	orovid	ed?				
Search strategy	Databas		Year range	L	anguage estriction	1	Primary data √		Other kind of information resources		
N		N	v	v							
Complete refere	nce list		List of		Inclusion			Exclusion			
of included studies		excluded studies			criteria			criteria			
$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$					
		or the as	sessment and int	<u> </u>			lata and	infor	mation	?	
Method of data			al appraisal	Method of data				Results of the			
extraction described?		method (for quality assessment of the			synthesis described			assessment clearly presented, eg, in the form			
$\checkmark$			re) described?	$\checkmark$			of evidence tables?				
						$\checkmark$					
Context?											
12. Information c	on contex	t (may or	may not apply to ea	ach HT.	A).						
(Medico-) legal	Economic		Ethical			l		Other perspectives			
implications considered?		nalysis ovided2		implications considered?		implications considered?		(stakeholders, patients, consumers) considered?			
-	p	provided? considered? considered $$			eu	-					
What then?							Yes	Р	artly	No	
13. Findings of the assessment discussed?							√	-			
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?							√				
15. Suggestions for further action?											